

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/022,636	Applicant(s) WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner Cephia D. Toomer	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

 (1) Cephia D. Toomer.

 (2) Alexander Migiroy.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 15 April 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Migiroy indicated that no response to the last office action has been filed and that Applicant intends to petition to have the application revived.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)